

June 10, 2005

**Electronically Filed**

Hon. Michael L. Orenstein, U.S.M.J.  
Long Island Courthouse  
100 Federal Plaza  
P.O. Box 9014  
Central Islip, New York 11722

Re: Hill v. County of Suffolk  
Docket No. CV02-3901 (DRH)(MLO)

Dear Judge Orenstein:

During a telephone conversation, Your Honor's Chambers informed me that it is in receipt of some sort of letter motion from the plaintiff, which bears a notation that it was copied to this office.

In actuality, we have not received any copy of this letter. Instead, we received a letter in original ink (not a copy), which was addressed to me (not the court). Therein, plaintiff appears to inform me of what he claims is contained in his letter to the court and additionally, addresses other remarks directly to me.

We have no way of knowing whether this second letter accurately recites plaintiff's motion to the court. In fact, it does not appear to, in that it is not a photocopy and contains remarks directed toward me and not the court.

Accordingly, defendants respectfully request that plaintiff's letter application to the court be returned to him, with directions to him to provide defendants' with an actual copy.

We thank the court for its consideration of this request.

Letter to Hon. Michael L. Orenstein, USMJ

June 10, 2005

Page 2 of 2

Very truly,

CHRISTINE MALAFI  
SUFFOLK COUNTY ATTORNEY

---

Arlene S. Zwillling/AZ-5918  
Assistant County Attorney

ASZ/fb

Cc: Demetrius Hill, Plaintiff pro se